

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,626	12/02/2005	Scijiro Tomita	050319	7499
23850 7590 03/22/2007 ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, PHU K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2628	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

· · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/535,626	TOMITA, SEIJIRO				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
•		Phu K. Nguyen	2628 ·-				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported to the second section apport.	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the torophy within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 M	lav 2005.					
2a)□		action is non-final.	•				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 May 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			PHU K. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER				
Attachment(s) GROUP 2300							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔯 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/20/05</u> .	5) Notice of Informal Pa					

The replacement drawings were received on May 20, 2005. These drawings are objected because:

In addition to Replacement Sheets containing the corrected drawing figure(s), applicant is required to submit a marked-up copy of each Replacement Sheet including annotations indicating the changes made to the previous version. The marked-up copy must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheets" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)(1). Failure to timely submit the proposed drawing and marked-up copy will result in the abandonment of the application.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

Replacement Drawing Sheets

Drawing changes must be made by presenting replacement sheets which incorporate the desired changes and which comply with 37 CFR 1.84. An explanation of the changes made must be presented either in the drawing amendments section, or remarks, section of the amendment paper. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). A replacement sheet must include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of the amended drawing(s) must not be labeled as "amended." If the changes to the drawing figure(s) are not accepted by the examiner, applicant will be notified of any required corrective action in the next Office action. No further drawing submission will be required, unless applicant is notified.

Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and within the top margin.

Annotated Drawing Sheets

A marked-up copy of any amended drawing figure, including annotations indicating the changes made, may be submitted or required by the examiner. The annotated drawing sheet(s) must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheet" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings.

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the time period set in the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the "Notice of Allowability." Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "63" has been used to designate both the region 63, the LED control means 63 (figure 2) and the Fresnel lens 63 (figure 1). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Figure 4 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in

compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The substitute specification filed May 20, 2005 has not been entered because it does not conform to 37 CFR 1.125(b) and (c) because: the substitute Disclosure contains several new issues (e.g., the alternation of controlling the LED rows in pages 11-12, the turn-on control illuminated regions in pages 12-14, ...) the which are added into the original Disclosure.

A substitute specification must not contain new matter. The substitute specification must be submitted with markings showing all the changes relative to the immediate prior version of the specification of record. The text of any added subject matter must be shown by underlining the added text. The text of any deleted matter must be shown by strike-through except that double brackets placed before and after the deleted characters may be used to show deletion of five or fewer consecutive characters. The text of any deleted subject matter must be shown by being placed within double brackets if strike-through cannot be easily perceived. An accompanying clean version (without markings) and a statement that the substitute specification

Application/Control Number: 10/535,626

Art Unit: 2628

contains no new matter must also be supplied. Numbering the paragraphs of the specification of record is not considered a change that must be shown.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The means to count the number of observers is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). Claim 5 includes the counting number of observers and their corresponding positions which are not specifically disclosed in the original Disclosure.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claim 1 is amended to show the alternating of the rows and the substitute Disclosure contains several new issues (e.g., the alternation of controlling the LED rows in pages 11-12, the turn-on control illuminated regions in pages 12-14, ...) the which are added into the original Disclosure.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by STREET (5,936,774).

As per claim 1, Street teaches the claimed "light source device for three-dimensional display having an image display means, wherein the image display means forms an image using transmitted light" (Street, the display system in figure 4), the light source device comprising: "a LED array having white LEDs or RGB LEDs arranged in two horizontal rows one above the other" (Street, the row LCD 42 and the row LCD 44; figure 4); and "a LED control means for performing an on-off control on the horizontal rows of the white LEDs or RGB LEDs in the LED array" (Street, column 7, lines 30-54; column 9, lines 27-40).

Claim 2 adds into claim 1 "the display is a three-dimensional display to present different images to right and left eyes of an observer and the upper and lower LED arrays constitute a light source portion for presenting an image for the right eye and a light source portion for presenting an image for the left eye, respectively" (Street, column 9, lines 33-40).

Claim 3 adds into claim 2 "the three-dimensional display has a position

Application/Control Number: 10/535,626

Art Unit: 2628

identifying means which measures a position of an observer relative to the three-dimensional display and outputs a corresponding position signal, and the LED control means performs a turn-on control on the white LEDs or RGB LEDs based on the position information so as to keep an image viewable by the observer" (Street, the head tracking 46; column 9, lines 40-44).

Claim 4 adds into claim 2 "the three-dimensional display has a controller operated by the observer, and the LED control means performs an on-off control on the white LEDs or RGB LEDs based on operation information from the controller so as to change an image viewed by the observer" (Street, column 10, lines 57-62).

Claim 6 adds into claim 1 "the LED control means performs a turn-on control on the right-eye image light source portion and the left-eye image light source portion of the LED array" (Street, column 8, lines 30-50; column 9, lines 27-40).

Claim 7 adds into claim 2 "the LED control means changes an interval between lighted parts of the right-eye image light source portion and the left-eye image light source portion of the LED array according to a distance of an observer from the display" (Street, column 10, lines 57-62).

Claim 8 adds into claim 1 "the two horizontal rows, one above the other, of the white LEDs or RGB LEDs in the LED array is arranged such that the white LEDs or

RGB LEDs in one of the rows are placed side by side with or alternated to those in the other row, and the LED control means performs the turn-on control on the horizontal rows of the white LEDs or RGB LEDs in the LED array" (Street, column 9, lines 12-62).

Claim 9 adds into claim 1 "the LED control means turns on appropriate white LEDs in the LED array and scans the illuminating LEDs across the LED array at high speed in a horizontal direction" (Street, column 7, lines 1-8).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over STREET (5,936,774).

Claim 10 adds into claim 1 "the system is used on three- or two-dimensional displays of television sets, game machines, personal computers, cell phones or mobile terminals" which Street does not explicitly teach. However, it would have been obvious to use Street's LCD display in the display of television sets, game machines, personal

Application/Control Number: 10/535,626 Page 9

Art Unit: 2628

computers, cell phones or mobile terminals as widely used at the time the invention was made for the purpose of light weight and flat screen of the LCD display.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phu K. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272 7645. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on (571) 272 7664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Phu K. Nguyen March 15, 2007

PHU K. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2300

She Ngy